

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MAURICE LALLEMAND,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, JIM
MCDONNELL, UNGREY HOLIFIELD,
TAWNIA ROJAS, ERNESTO
VALENCIA, ANDREW HAGEWOOD,
MATTHEW VANDERHORCK, ALLEN
CASTELLANO AND DOES 1 THROUGH
10,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV17-00781 TJH (SSx)

Hon. Terry J. Hatter, Jr.

**ORDER RE DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE [JS-6]**

**TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE TAKE
NOTICE:** The Court has reviewed the parties' Stipulation for an Order RE Dismissal
Without Prejudice in this case. Plaintiff and Defendants' articulate points and argument
upon which the Court finds good cause to grant an Order as to the stipulation, as

1 requested. Therefore, the Court makes the following Order:

- 2
- 3 i. Order that this action is dismissed without prejudice;
- 4 ii. Retain jurisdiction to vacate the dismissal order and reopen this action
- 5 within 180 days from the Notice of Settlement, unless, the parties request
- 6 that the Court retain jurisdiction for an additional period of time necessary
- 7 to finalize the settlement;
- 8 iii. The parties shall file a dismissal, with prejudice, upon the settlement being
- 9 finalized;
- 10 iv. If no dismissal with prejudice is filed at the end of the initial 180 day
- 11 period, or extended period, the parties shall appear for a status conference.
- 12
- 13

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15

16 Date: March 2, 2020



17

18

19 HON. TERRY J. HATTER, JR.,

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28